



Why I Refuse to Stop Writing About Cyberlux Corporationâ??Because Taxpayers Deserve the Truth

Description

I have been writing about [Cyberlux Corporation](#)â??accused by some of incessantly regurgitating the same pointsâ??because their actions demand scrutiny. This isnâ??t about obsession, personal vendettas, or some grand conspiracyâ??itâ??s about accountability for the misuse of taxpayer funds, the stench of corruption, and the sheer arrogance with which this company has operated.

Where It Started

My attention was first drawn to Cyberlux Corporation ([CYBL](#)) after reading a [Wall Street Journal article](#) that should have been a straightforward business story but instead read like an ego trip for CEO Mark Schmidt. He didnâ??t just talk about his companyâ??s successâ??he styled himself as some kind of Pentagon renegade, storming through bureaucratic red tape like a self-proclaimed defense industry Robin Hood. But the moment he referred to Cyberlux Corporation as a â??virus inside the DoD,â?? I knew this wasnâ??t just corporate bravadoâ??it was pure nonsense.




Photo Credit to SERHII KOROVAYNY FOR THE WALL STREET JOURNAL

As the child of someone who worked in the defense industry, I know the culture well. People who truly understand military service and national security don't need to dress up as warriors or play rogue agents. They do the work. They follow the process. And they don't need to stage a Hollywood action sequence to get a contract. Schmidt's words felt like stolen valor—wrapping himself in the language of the military while having no business doing so. If you want to sell drones to the Pentagon, maybe don't compare yourself to a disease infecting the Department of Defense.

What I Discovered

What started as skepticism turned into full-fledged concern when I began to dig deeper. A trail of unpaid debts, lawsuits, and court judgments for non-payment. Misuse of corporate funds on luxury items. This wasn't just an unstable company—it was an unstable company being handed government contracts.

Then came the bombshell: Cyberlux Corporation somehow secured a \$78.8 million Foreign Military Financing (FMF) contract. That alone was stunning, considering the company's track record. But what made it worse? The U.S. government handed them 50% of that money upfront. A company with a history of financial instability was given a taxpayer-funded payday with no serious oversight—and on the day they received that payment, Cyberlux Corporation had less than \$3,000 in their bank account. That's right—less than what most people spend on a weekend trip, yet they were suddenly flush with millions in taxpayer cash.

And the more I looked, the more troubling things became.

- Corporate money spent on luxury purchases while debts piled up.

- Millions transferred to [executives](#) even as the company faltered.
- A [\\$213,000 Mercedes purchase](#) because apparently, military contracts now come with high-end perks. Nothing says "serious defense contractor" like splurging on a luxury car with money meant for battlefield technology.

If this weren't taxpayer money, it would be a joke. But it is taxpayer money. Your money. My money. Money meant for national security, not CEO shopping sprees.

The Smell of Corruption

As if the financial mismanagement weren't bad enough, Cyberlux Corporation and Schmidt hid behind the veil of "classified" information, claiming that details couldn't be shared with shareholders or creditors due to security concerns. This wasn't about protecting sensitive military secrets—it was about dodging accountability. No evidence has emerged that any of their contracts are classified. No evidence that security clearances have been issued. Convenient, isn't it?

For all the talk about "draining the swamp," how does a company like Cyberlux Corporation waltz into a Pentagon deal with an empty bank account and a history of unpaid debts? Politicians across the spectrum claim they want to clean up government waste, yet time and time again, we see unqualified companies getting massive contracts with little oversight. If there were ever a case study in how broken the system is, this is it.

This isn't about partisan politics—it's about basic taxpayer accountability. The Pentagon didn't just fail to do its due diligence; it handed millions to a company with a documented history of financial instability. If that's not the definition of a rigged system, I don't know what is. But what if this wasn't just negligence? What if Cyberlux Corporation didn't just slip through the cracks but had a particularly smooth ride through the system?

This raises an even bigger question: I have serious concerns about whether Cyberlux Corporation may have violated the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA) in the process of securing its FMF deal. While I do not have definitive proof, [certain patterns raise](#) questions about whether improper payments or incentives played a role in facilitating their contract. If that's the case, this wouldn't just be financial recklessness—it could warrant serious legal scrutiny, and that's why a full investigation is necessary.

Call me skeptical, but when a company with this kind of financial baggage suddenly leapfrogs past legitimate defense firms to score a massive Pentagon-backed contract, I start wondering if something more than just "good networking" was at play.

Why I Won't Stop Writing

I've had my fair share of detractors. People who think I should let this go. People who insult me, try to discredit me, or claim I'm obsessed. Here's my response:

I write about Cyberlux Corporation because their behavior is an outright insult to every family that pays taxes, serves in the military, or works in the defense industry. I refuse to let taxpayer money be funneled into the pockets of people who have benefited from a system that failed to provide proper

oversight, wrapped themselves in military jargon, and enriched themselves under false pretenses.

This isn't just a bad business deal—it's a scandal that demands a reckoning. If Cyberlux Corporation has engaged in fraud, corruption, or bribery, there should be consequences. If the government failed to do its due diligence, there should be reforms. If this contract was awarded without proper oversight, there should be investigations. The system only changes when people demand answers—and I intend to keep demanding them.

So, I will keep writing. I will keep investigating. And I will keep pushing for real accountability. Because silence is exactly what people like Schmidt and Cyberlux Corporation count on. And I refuse to be silent.

I also want to acknowledge the creditors and their legal counsel who have stepped forward to file civil suits and demand accountability from Cyberlux Corporation and its management. While I feel terrible that they have been left in such a position, I have great respect for their determination to pursue justice. Their fight for transparency and accountability made these revelations possible. But their fight isn't over, and neither is mine.

To read all my articles on Cyberlux Corporation [click here](#).

Disclaimer

All posts, articles, and op-eds about Cyberlux Corporation are grounded entirely in information sourced from publicly available court records, government documents, and financial disclosures filed with OTC Markets. This content is intended for informational purposes only—it's not legal advice, it's not financial guidance, and it's definitely not an invitation to dive headfirst into investment decisions. Our interpretations, opinions, and conclusions stem exclusively from these accessible resources. Ultimate adjudication of legal matters rests with the courts and qualified legal professionals. As always, you're encouraged to verify independently because, let's face it, trust but verify is a motto that never goes out of style. If you believe there is an error in our reporting and have **verifiable** proof, we encourage you to present it, and we will promptly review and address any inaccuracies.

Category

1. Cyberlux

Tags

1. cyberlux
2. DOD
3. factoring
4. FMS
5. trump
6. U.S.
7. Ukraine

Date Created

March 15, 2025

Author

jackson