



## When Oversight Fails.

### Description

Over the past couple of weeks there has been speculation in certain corners of the internet about why I have been silent for so long, and why I have chosen to speak again now.

The answer is straightforward.

After several accounts on X, Stocktwits, InvestorHub, and Discord began publishing the names of my family members, sharing photographs of where I live, and circulating a range of accusations and threats against myself and others, I stepped away. Some of those actions were taken by individuals connected to Cyberlux, including one executive known to me personally.

It was unsettling.

In response, I initiated litigation and filed complaints with the Department of Defense, the FBI, and the General Services Administration. Those matters remain ongoing. For that reason I will not comment on them in detail. What I will say is that I continue to cooperate fully with the appropriate authorities.

So why return now?

The recent indictment of Brett Rosen served as a reminder of why this work began in the first place.

In the spring of last year I published several articles examining public filings related to the financing structure between RB Capital and Cyberlux. Since then I have reviewed the sworn declaration of Mark Schmidt outlining his interactions with Rosen. The substance of the allegations did not surprise me. What continues to surprise me is how some anonymous voices online are attempting to frame the situation in a way that differs from the findings being presented by the Department of Justice and the Securities and Exchange Commission.

A small number of people within the online community have since reached out privately to apologise for their conduct and explain their motivations. I appreciate that.

Others continue to harass and attempt to insert themselves into matters that do not concern them. As recently as last month, one such attempt involved a now former Cyberlux executive.

But that is not why this began.

This effort did not start in November of 2024, as some assume. It began nearly a year earlier, when information I encountered during the course of my professional responsibilities raised concerns that I could not ignore. Over the following months I attempted to encourage individuals within Cyberlux who were in a position to act to address those concerns and engage constructively with their prime contractor, the GSA, and other relevant parties.

Those efforts did not lead to meaningful action.

And when it appeared that oversight surrounding how taxpayer funds were being awarded, spent, and accounted for had broken down, I made the decision to act in the way our system expects when something goes wrong.

I blew the whistle.

The actions I took were not only about morality or ethics. They were also about protecting the people I work with and for and the people who place their trust in us to do our jobs with integrity. When oversight fails, the damage is not limited to institutions or balance sheets. It reaches the individuals who depend on that work being carried out honestly and responsibly. In moments like that, the obligation is not to step back or remain silent. It is to stand up, protect the integrity of the work, and refuse to shrink away simply because the situation has become difficult.

I intend to see this through.

The cost has been both personal and professional.

I have no regrets.

When those tasked with oversight fail, responsibility does not vanish. It falls back on the rest of us. We have grown far too comfortable being detached from where our taxpayer dollars go and how they are used. As voters, as citizens, and as individuals like myself who work within government funded projects, we have an obligation to pay attention. Oversight only works when the public refuses to look away.

I will continue the work I started. Not because it is easy, but because I believe it is the right thing to do. Accountability requires sunlight, and sunlight remains the best disinfectant.

## **Disclaimer**

All articles and commentary regarding Cyberlux Corporation are based entirely on information drawn from publicly available sources, including court records, government documents, and financial disclosures filed with OTC Markets. The content presented here is intended solely for informational and analytical purposes and should not be interpreted as legal advice, financial advice, or investment guidance.

Any interpretations, opinions, or conclusions expressed reflect analysis of these publicly available materials. Determinations regarding legal matters ultimately rest with the courts and appropriate authorities.

Readers are encouraged to independently verify information and conduct their own research. If you believe any reporting contains an error and can provide verifiable documentation, we welcome the opportunity to review and promptly correct any inaccuracies.

**Category**

1. Corporate
2. Cyberlux
3. Featured
4. U.S.

**Date Created**

March 13, 2026

**Author**

jackson