



## The Cyberlux Fire Sale: Creditors Want Datron, But Taxpayers Might Want Answers

### Description

Cyberlux Corporation (CYBL) is running out of road. A federal judge just dropped a minute order wiping the March 14, 2025 hearing off the calendar, meaning no more courtroom theatrics—just a written ruling coming in due course. Translation? The judge has heard enough, and Cyberlux is now in the legal equivalent of waiting for your turn at the DMV—except at the end of this line, they don't get a license. They probably lose everything.

But let's be real: Cyberlux is already circling the drain. The real question now is **who gets to Datron first**—the private creditors trying to foreclose or the U.S. government, which might be watching this mess and thinking, "Wait a second! didn't our FMF money pay for that?" If that's the case, then Atlantic Wave, Legalist, and Thin Air might be in for a rude awakening because the U.S. doesn't like being second in line to anyone, let alone a bunch of creditors fighting over scraps.

This isn't just speculation anymore. **Bank records show that Cyberlux had less than \$3,000 in its account before receiving a \$38.7 million FMF deposit, and that same day, they wired \$3 million to buy Datron.** If that isn't a misuse of funds, what is? FMF money isn't Monopoly cash—it's taxpayer-funded, government-controlled, and comes with a long list of rules. Unless Cyberlux somehow got **explicit government approval** (highly unlikely), this starts looking a lot like a financial shell game. Even if they try to argue that buying Datron was necessary to fulfill their contracts, FMF financing isn't meant for corporate acquisitions—it's meant for, you know, actually producing equipment for military customers. If the government confirms misuse, they won't just take a number and wait their turn. They could **invalidate the entire purchase, seize assets, or demand Cyberlux repay every penny before creditors get so much as a paperclip.**

[Atlantic\\_Wave\\_Holdings\\_LLC\\_et\\_v\\_Cyberlux\\_Corporation\\_casdce-24-00482\\_0032.3-2Download](#)

If the judge sends the case back to state court, creditors will move fast. Cyberlux's stake in [Datron](#) could be **foreclosed on, auctioned off, or liquidated** in an effort to cover its massive debt. But if the U.S. government steps in and declares that FMF funds were misused? Game over. The entire transaction could be unwound, and **Datron could suddenly be government property, leaving**

## creditors holding nothing but regret.

So what's the likely scenario here?

90% chance the case is remanded to state court. The judge has already questioned jurisdiction, the plaintiffs have laid out their argument, and Cyberlux hasn't exactly presented a compelling reason to stay. If that happens, creditors will **slam the foreclosure button and try to take control of Datron** before Uncle Sam decides to play.

8% chance Cyberlux keeps the case in federal court. It's a long shot, but if they find some obscure legal technicality, they could stall a bit longer. But delaying isn't winning, and the government isn't going to ignore the FMF issue forever.

2% chance of some bizarre hybrid ruling where part of the case stays in federal court while the rest goes back to state court, which would be a logistical nightmare for everyone involved.

For Atlantic Wave and the other creditors, the goal is now clear: **grab [Datron](#) before the government steps in and rewrites the rules.** If they win the race, they own what's left of Cyberlux's only valuable asset. If the feds get there first? Good luck arguing with Washington. The real question now isn't just where this case will be heard—it's whether the creditors can move fast enough before the government shuts the whole thing down and walks away with the only thing worth taking.

## Disclaimer

All posts, articles, and op-eds about Cyberlux Corporation are grounded entirely in information sourced from publicly available court records, government documents, and financial disclosures filed with OTC Markets. This content is intended for informational purposes only—it's not legal advice, it's not financial guidance, and it's definitely not an invitation to dive headfirst into investment decisions. Our interpretations, opinions, and conclusions stem exclusively from these accessible resources. Ultimate adjudication of legal matters rests with the courts and qualified legal professionals. As always, you're encouraged to verify independently because, let's face it, trust but verify is a motto that never goes out of style. If you believe there is an error in our reporting and have **verifiable** proof, we encourage you to present it, and we will promptly review and address any inaccuracies.

## Category

1. Cyberlux

## Tags

1. contracts
2. cyberlux
3. factoring
4. FMS
5. trump
6. Ukraine

## Date Created

March 16, 2025

## Author

jackson