



Cyberlux Took the Bags, Skipped the Bill—Now They’re Facing Civil Theft Charges

Description

If Cyberlux was hoping for a distraction from its growing legal and financial mess, the latest lawsuit won’t help. On March 12, 2025, Thin Air Gear, LLC decided they’d had enough and filed a federal lawsuit in Colorado against Cyberlux and its subsidiary, Catalyst Machineworks, for breach of contract, unjust enrichment, and—because it wouldn’t be a Cyberlux lawsuit without some extra spice—civil theft. Not just a simple unpaid invoice dispute, this one hints at something far worse: either total financial mismanagement or a level of arrogance that borders on self-destruction.

Thin Air Gear had a contract with Catalyst Machineworks to produce 2,100 wheeled drone kit bags for a total of \$887,900. Cyberlux put down a deposit of \$150,000, then proceeded to do what they do best: not pay the rest. As of today, they still owe \$365,049.42, despite taking delivery of 1,722 of the bags. Thin Air, ever the optimists, have the final 378 bags sitting in their warehouse, probably wondering if they’ll ever see daylight. Repeated demands for payment have been met with silence. Because of course they have.

Civil theft, though—that’s where things get interesting. It’s not just Thin Air saying Cyberlux breached a contract. It’s Thin Air saying Cyberlux effectively stole from them. In Colorado, that’s a big deal, because it comes with treble damages. That’s right—if Thin Air wins, that \$365K balance could turn into a million-dollar problem for Cyberlux, just for the privilege of ignoring their invoices. This isn’t just a contractor shaking a fist at an unpaid bill. This is a company going to federal court and saying, “These guys took our stuff and never intended to pay for it.” And with Cyberlux’s history? That’s not a tough argument to make.

Then there’s the number of bags. Thin Air was contracted to make 2,100 of them, a number that appears directly connected to Cyberlux’s HII contract. That link only strengthens the argument that taxpayer money was part of this deal, and yet, Cyberlux still couldn’t manage to pay its bills.

This lawsuit is another nail in Cyberlux’s increasingly crowded legal coffin. But Thin Air is now at the back of the line, joining a growing list of unpaid creditors all scrambling for what’s left. Each of these lawsuits demanding payment only further highlights Cyberlux’s potential misuse of taxpayer dollars.

It's a pattern that's becoming harder to ignore. More troubling is the possibility that this isn't just financial mismanagement. It could be a blatant violation of federal procurement rules. Complex international sales often come with commissions and contingency fees, but those are strictly regulated under **FAR and DFAR regulations**. If Cyberlux was playing fast and loose with those restrictions, this could escalate beyond a civil lawsuit into something much worse.

[Thin Air Gear LLC v Cyberlux Corporation_codce-25-00805_0001.0Download](#)

Disclaimer

All posts, articles, and op-eds about Cyberlux Corporation are grounded entirely in information sourced from publicly available court records, government documents, and financial disclosures filed with OTC Markets. This content is intended for informational purposes only—it's not legal advice, it's not financial guidance, and it's definitely not an invitation to dive headfirst into investment decisions. Our interpretations, opinions, and conclusions stem exclusively from these accessible resources. Ultimate adjudication of legal matters rests with the courts and qualified legal professionals. As always, you're encouraged to verify independently because, let's face it, trust but verify is a motto that never goes out of style. If you believe there is an error in our reporting and have **verifiable** proof, we encourage you to present it, and we will promptly review and address any inaccuracies.

Category

1. Cyberlux

Tags

1. contracts
2. cyberlux
3. DOD
4. factoring
5. FMS
6. Ukraine

Date Created

March 12, 2025

Author

jackson