



## Another Lawsuit, Still No Answers. Just More Noise.

### Description

I've read more legal filings about Cyberlux Corporation than any person should reasonably endure without hazard pay or a caffeine IV. Ten lawsuits, four hundred and twenty filings—California to Texas, federal to county, from solemn declarations to errant typos in footnotes. Harris County alone could be mistaken for a long-form documentary transcript. And still, despite the avalanche of paper and pixels, the message doesn't vary much. They owe people money. They don't pay. They get sued. Rinse. Repeat.

So just when I thought we'd scraped the bottom of this contractual sinkhole, another one lands. On June 5 2025, Advanced Navigation & Positioning Corporation (ANPC) filed suit in North Carolina, claiming Cyberlux stiffed them for \$2.83 million. And this isn't for vaporware or theoretical consulting—it's for transportable transponder landing systems. Real equipment. Real delivery. Real invoice. And, according to the lawsuit, real silence when it came time to collect.

### [Read Advanced Navigation vs CyberluxDownload](#)

Here's the rub: Cyberlux had already been paid. Their own quarterly disclosure admits they fulfilled \$19.9 million of a \$22.7 million so-called FMS contract related to F-16 landing systems. These weren't speculative funds—they were government-backed, received, and presumably banked. ANPC wasn't just a supplier; they were a key player in helping Cyberlux meet its obligations to fulfill a military contract. You'd think that would at least earn them a wire transfer.

15. Upon information and belief, Cyberlux had received payment from Cyberlux's customer as of December 20, 2024 sufficient to pay the Final Invoice by December 30, 2024.

16. Cyberlux breached the Purchase Agreement when it failed to make a payment on the Final Invoice on or before December 30, 2024.

But instead of cash, ANPC got ghosted. No disputes, no clarifications, no denials—just a flatline. Cyberlux has offered no explanation, publicly or privately, as to why ANPC didn't get paid. And it's not because they forgot. ANPC even sent a formal letter to the CEO. Still nothing. Like shouting into a canyon made of NDA clauses and bad decisions.

And this isn't an isolated glitch in the matrix—it appears to be the standard operating procedure. Over the past year, Cyberlux has been named in lawsuits by former partners, lenders, staffing agencies, and more suppliers than I care to count. The pattern isn't just repetition. It's escalation. They don't just fail to pay—they retaliate. Since I started reporting on these issues, I've faced harassment, threats, doxxing attempts, and a digital parade of anonymous accounts more interested in my address than in the facts.

It's telling. If Cyberlux had answers, they'd be giving them. Instead, they're expending time and energy trying to discredit the person reading the fine print. And when a company prioritizes personal attacks over professional accountability, it doesn't scream innocence—it howls fear.

Their latest financial disclosures don't soothe that concern. As of March 2025, they've got \$1.5 million in cash and nearly \$50 million in liabilities. Their accounts payable are nearing \$3 million. Accrued liabilities? Over \$24 million. Even their customer deposits—a category that once held \$1.9 million—have been almost entirely drained. It's as if the money evaporates on arrival.

So where did it all go? Not to ANPC, clearly. Not to rent either, as one facility was recently locked. Not to employee payroll, based on what sources familiar with the situation. And certainly not to fixing this crisis, because here we are again, watching the same play with a fresh cast of litigants.

You don't need a forensic accountant to see the trajectory here. This is how it starts: with one unpaid invoice. Then another. Then lawsuits. Then reputational hemorrhaging. Add in a few aggressive PR distractions, and eventually you've got a company that spends more time battling its critics than fulfilling its contracts.

Which brings us back to ANPC. They delivered, they invoiced, and they waited. And now they're suing for what should have been a routine payment. That one invoice—unpaid, unexplained—is a prism into the broader dysfunction. Because if a company can't manage the basics of paying its vendors with government money already in hand, what else is it hiding?

It makes me wonder how much longer the pump squad will keep promoting this company while simultaneously harassing, doxxing, and threatening dissenters. Surely even those individuals leading that effort must realize by now—they're just aiding and abetting Cyberlux.

Not that Cyberlux really needs anyone's help to destroy their business. They've done an excellent job all on their own.

So if you're still defending Cyberlux—still pumping, still harassing, still doxxing—it's time to take a breath. The root cause of this mess isn't a journalist. It's a company that refuses to answer for its own actions. No amount of trolling will rewrite that.

## **Disclaimer**

All posts, articles, and op-eds about Cyberlux Corporation are grounded entirely in information sourced from publicly available court records, government documents, and financial disclosures filed with OTC Markets. This content is intended for informational purposes only—it's not legal advice, it's not financial guidance, and it's definitely not an invitation to dive headfirst into investment decisions. Our interpretations, opinions, and conclusions stem exclusively from these accessible resources. Ultimate adjudication of legal matters rests with the courts and qualified legal professionals. As always, you're encouraged to verify independently because, let's face it, trust but verify is a motto that never goes out of style. If you believe there is an error in our reporting and have **verifiable** proof, we encourage you to present it, and we will promptly review and address any inaccuracies.

### **Category**

1. Cyberlux

### **Tags**

1. contracts
2. cyberlux
3. DOD
4. FMS
5. Ukraine

### **Date Created**

June 8, 2025

### **Author**

jackson